Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/816,049	BARRUS, JOHN W.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quoc A. Tran	2176
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) Quoc A. Tran (USPTO).	(3)	
(2) Tatiana Rossin (Attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 March 2009	Time: <u>3PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: No Claims discussed: 1-64 and 66-77		
Prior art documents discussed: No		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Quoc A. Tran/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/816,049

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this case. Applicant's Attorney agrees to the following: amended of claims 1 and 33-64 and 66-77. The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of the pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.